

© International Baccalaureate Organization 2023

All rights reserved. No part of this product may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without the prior written permission from the IB. Additionally, the license tied with this product prohibits use of any selected files or extracts from this product. Use by third parties, including but not limited to publishers, private teachers, tutoring or study services, preparatory schools, vendors operating curriculum mapping services or teacher resource digital platforms and app developers, whether fee-covered or not, is prohibited and is a criminal offense.

More information on how to request written permission in the form of a license can be obtained from <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organisation du Baccalauréat International 2023

Tous droits réservés. Aucune partie de ce produit ne peut être reproduite sous quelque forme ni par quelque moyen que ce soit, électronique ou mécanique, y compris des systèmes de stockage et de récupération d'informations, sans l'autorisation écrite préalable de l'IB. De plus, la licence associée à ce produit interdit toute utilisation de tout fichier ou extrait sélectionné dans ce produit. L'utilisation par des tiers, y compris, sans toutefois s'y limiter, des éditeurs, des professeurs particuliers, des services de tutorat ou d'aide aux études, des établissements de préparation à l'enseignement supérieur, des fournisseurs de services de planification des programmes d'études, des gestionnaires de plateformes pédagogiques en ligne, et des développeurs d'applications, moyennant paiement ou non, est interdite et constitue une infraction pénale.

Pour plus d'informations sur la procédure à suivre pour obtenir une autorisation écrite sous la forme d'une licence, rendez-vous à l'adresse <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organización del Bachillerato Internacional, 2023

Todos los derechos reservados. No se podrá reproducir ninguna parte de este producto de ninguna forma ni por ningún medio electrónico o mecánico, incluidos los sistemas de almacenamiento y recuperación de información, sin la previa autorización por escrito del IB. Además, la licencia vinculada a este producto prohíbe el uso de todo archivo o fragmento seleccionado de este producto. El uso por parte de terceros —lo que incluye, a título enunciativo, editoriales, profesores particulares, servicios de apoyo académico o ayuda para el estudio, colegios preparatorios, desarrolladores de aplicaciones y entidades que presten servicios de planificación curricular u ofrezcan recursos para docentes mediante plataformas digitales—, ya sea incluido en tasas o no, está prohibido y constituye un delito.

En este enlace encontrará más información sobre cómo solicitar una autorización por escrito en forma de licencia: <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

Business management

Higher level

Paper 1

23 October 2023

Zone A afternoon | **Zone B** afternoon | **Zone C** afternoon

2 hours 15 minutes

Instructions to candidates

- Do not open this examination paper until instructed to do so.
- A clean copy of the **business management case study** is required for this examination paper.
- Read the case study carefully.
- A clean copy of the **business management formulae sheet** is required for this examination paper.
- Section A: answer two questions.
- Section B: answer question 4.
- Section C: answer question 5.
- A calculator is required for this examination paper.
- The maximum mark for this examination paper is **[60 marks]**.

Section A

Answer **two** questions from this section.

1. (a) Outline **one** advantage **and one** disadvantage for *BRD* of converting to a public limited company (lines 27–28). [4]
(b) Explain the leadership styles used by Arnold with the different departments in *BRD*'s Liverpool factory (lines 58–62 and lines 73–75). [6]
2. (a) Outline **one** advantage **and one** disadvantage for *BRD* of having low labour turnover (lines 63–64). [4]
(b) Using the Ansoff matrix, explain what alternatives to diversification *BRD* could have considered (lines 24–25). [6]
3. (a) Outline **one** advantage **and one** disadvantage that could arise from *BRD*'s decision to make its model train sets from plastic rather than metal from 2024 (lines 108–110). [4]
(b) Explain strategies, **other than** the issuing of additional shares to the existing shareholders, that *BRD* could have used to improve its liquidity in 2022 (lines 124–138). [6]

Section B

Answer the following question.

4. *BRD* manufactures model train sets using just-in-case (JIC) stock control. Every December, sales of model train sets are usually equal to three months of production. *BRD* has 300 limited-edition train sets, made in 1999 to mark the turn of the millennium, in stock.

High-quality packaging is a feature of *BRD* train sets. *BRD* is considering buying packaging from *GXG* in Germany. In 2024, *BRD* plans to make 100 000 train sets. *BRD* will use the data in **Table 1** to make a decision about whether to continue to make its own packaging or buy packaging from *GXG*.


Table 1: Forecasted costs for *BRD* to make its own packaging or buy packaging from *GXG*

Make its own packaging		Buy packaging from <i>GXG</i>	
Packaging unit variable cost	£0.55	Order quantity	Unit price
Packaging total fixed costs	£5000	0–75 000	£0.70
		75 001–90 000	£0.66
		90 001–105 000	£0.56
		105 001+	£0.50

BRD uses a cost-plus (mark-up) pricing strategy for its *Matchfix* plastic model kits. Price increases of plastics and electricity have reduced profit margins.

In 2022, 180 000 *Matchfix* plastic model kits were made and sold at £80 each. Unit variable costs were £75, and total fixed costs were £800 000. The percentage share of unit variable costs per *Matchfix* plastic model kit is shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1: Percentage share of unit variable costs per *Matchfix* plastic model kit



(This question continues on the following page)

Turn over

(Question 4 continued)

BRD's board of directors are considering **two** options to improve the profit margins of its *Matchfix* plastic model kits: installing solar panels on its factory roof to generate electricity or outsourcing the production of the *Matchfix* plastic model kits.

Option 1: Install solar panels on the factory roof to generate electricity

Costing £2 572 763, the installation would reduce *BRD*'s annual *Matchfix* production line net electricity bill by 75 %. Last year's bill was £1 350 000. *BRD* forecasts electricity prices to increase by 10 % per year until 2034. The forecasted savings are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Forecasted net annual electricity savings from solar panel installation (all figures in £s)

Year 1	1 113 750
Year 2	1 225 125
Year 3	1 347 638
Year 4	1 482 401
Year 5	1 630 641

Option 2: Outsource the production of Matchfix plastic model kits

BRD is negotiating with *VKI*, a manufacturer in China, to produce and supply the plastic model kits for five years. In the first year, *VKI*'s price would be 25 % lower than *BRD*'s current production unit cost. Thereafter, the price would rise by 10 % each year.

- (a) Define the term *cost-plus (mark-up) pricing*. [2]
- (b) Explain **one** advantage **and one** disadvantage for *BRD* of using just-in-case (JIC) stock control for the manufacture of its model train sets. [4]
- (c) (i) Calculate the difference between the cost for *BRD* to make its own packaging and the cost to buy the packaging from *GXG* (*show all your working*). [3]
 - (ii) Suggest **one** factor, **other than** cost, that *BRD* should consider before deciding whether to make its own packaging or buy packaging from *GXG*. [1]
- (d) Using information from the case study and the additional information above, recommend whether *BRD* should choose **Option 1** (install solar panels) or **Option 2** (outsource production). [10]

Section C

Answer the following question.

5. It is now November 2023, and *BRD*'s board of directors did not approve the installation of solar panels nor the outsourcing of the production of the *Matchfix* plastic model kits.

4Change now owns 45 % of *BRD*'s shares and wants to make strategic changes.

BRD's board of directors are considering two strategic options: a location and product change, suggested by the *4Change* board members, or the repurposing of unused factory space for a visitor centre, suggested by other board members.

Option 1: A location and product change, suggested by the *4Change* board members

- Sell *BRD*'s Liverpool factory, which is valued at £28 million. *BRD* is valued at £24 million.
- Relocate to a factory nearby at an annual rent of £2 million and setup costs of £1.5 million.
- End the production of *BRD*'s model train sets. A retailer in India has offered £3 million to purchase *BRD*'s stocks of model train sets, valued at £10 million, along with the brand name, *BRD* Three-Rail Model Railway.
- Launch a new two-rail model train set with a new brand name, *BRD* 21st-Century Trains.

Option 2: Repurposing unused factory space for a visitor centre, suggested by other board members

- Two possibilities have been proposed for the visitor centre:
 - A railway museum showcasing full-sized railway engines and rail cars from the 20th century.
 - A science and imagination centre with interactive exhibits, allowing families to experiment with wind, magnets, electricity, and light. Highly trained employees would be needed to assist with experiments.

Table 3: Forecasted costs for the visitor centre

Setup costs	£900 000
Annual total variable costs	£100 000
Annual total fixed costs	£150 000

Total costs are forecasted to rise by 10 % each year.

The entrance fee to the visitor centre would be £15 per adult, with accompanied children entering for free.

Table 4: Forecasted numbers for paying visitor centre customers

Year	Paying visitor centre customers
1	40 000
2	50 000
3	55 000
4	59 000
5	63 000

(This question continues on the following page)

Turn over

(Question 5 continued)

BRD's board of directors are divided. Arnold, who is in his final year as chief executive officer (CEO), has drawn up a force field analysis of both proposed options, shown in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Arnold's force field analysis

Option 1: Location and product change			
Driving forces		Restraining forces	
	Value		Value
Funds from sale of the factory	4	Relocation and setup costs	1
Relocation of offices and production	1	Increased costs (rent and setup costs)	4
Access to mass markets	2	Dilution of the <i>BRD</i> brand	2
Release of funds tied up in stocks	2	Stocks sold at below cost of production	3
Total	9	Total	10

Option 2: Repurposing unused factory space for a visitor centre			
Driving forces		Restraining forces	
	Value		Value
New revenue streams	3	Lack of experience with new venture	2
Increased diversification	2	Need to finance the development	3
Slot circuit will provide a unique selling point/proposition (USP)	3	Slot circuit growth potential	2
Predicted visitor numbers	2	Limited long-term growth	1
Total	10	Total	8

All figures are based on Arnold's personal opinions.

Using the case study and the additional information on pages 5 and 6, recommend whether *BRD* should choose **Option 1** (location and product change) or **Option 2** (repurpose unused factory space).

[20]