

Markscheme

May 2024

Classical Greek

Higher level and standard level

Paper 2

© International Baccalaureate Organization 2024

All rights reserved. No part of this product may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without the prior written permission from the IB. Additionally, the license tied with this product prohibits use of any selected files or extracts from this product. Use by third parties, including but not limited to publishers, private teachers, tutoring or study services, preparatory schools, vendors operating curriculum mapping services or teacher resource digital platforms and app developers, whether fee-covered or not, is prohibited and is a criminal offense.

More information on how to request written permission in the form of a license can be obtained from https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/.

© Organisation du Baccalauréat International 2024

Tous droits réservés. Aucune partie de ce produit ne peut être reproduite sous quelque forme ni par quelque moyen que ce soit, électronique ou mécanique, y compris des systèmes de stockage et de récupération d'informations, sans l'autorisation écrite préalable de l'IB. De plus, la licence associée à ce produit interdit toute utilisation de tout fichier ou extrait sélectionné dans ce produit. L'utilisation par des tiers, y compris, sans toutefois s'y limiter, des éditeurs, des professeurs particuliers, des services de tutorat ou d'aide aux études, des établissements de préparation à l'enseignement supérieur, des fournisseurs de services de planification des programmes d'études, des gestionnaires de plateformes pédagogiques en ligne, et des développeurs d'applications, moyennant paiement ou non, est interdite et constitue une infraction pénale.

Pour plus d'informations sur la procédure à suivre pour obtenir une autorisation écrite sous la forme d'une licence, rendez-vous à l'adresse https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/.

© Organización del Bachillerato Internacional, 2024

Todos los derechos reservados. No se podrá reproducir ninguna parte de este producto de ninguna forma ni por ningún medio electrónico o mecánico, incluidos los sistemas de almacenamiento y recuperación de información, sin la previa autorización por escrito del IB. Además, la licencia vinculada a este producto prohíbe el uso de todo archivo o fragmento seleccionado de este producto. El uso por parte de terceros —lo que incluye, a título enunciativo, editoriales, profesores particulares, servicios de apoyo académico o ayuda para el estudio, colegios preparatorios, desarrolladores de aplicaciones y entidades que presten servicios de planificación curricular u ofrezcan recursos para docentes mediante plataformas digitales—, ya sea incluido en tasas o no, está prohibido y constituye un delito.

En este enlace encontrará más información sobre cómo solicitar una autorización por escrito en forma de licencia: https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/.

Markbands for Option A, question 2 / Option B, question 4 / Option C, question 6 / Option D, question 8

The extended response questions are marked using the criteria and markbands below. These have been reproduced from the *Classical languages guide*.

Paper 2 - Extended response

Criterion A: Knowledge, understanding, and use of evidence

In relation to the prompt, how much knowledge and understanding of the prescribed core text does the response demonstrate?

How meaningfully and relevantly does the response incorporate evidence from sources beyond the core text?

Marks	Description
0	The response does not meet the standard described below.
1-2	 The response demonstrates little knowledge and understanding of the core text and its contexts in relation to the prompt. The response does not meaningfully incorporate evidence from sources beyond the core text.
3-4	 The response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the core text and its contexts in relation to the prompt. The response incorporates evidence from sources beyond the core text with some relevance to the prompt.
5-6	 The response demonstrates good knowledge and understanding of the core text and its contexts in relation to the prompt. The response meaningfully incorporates evidence from sources beyond the core texts; examples have direct relevance to the prompt.

Criterion B: Analysis and evaluationTo what extent does the response include analysis and evaluation of how the choices of language, technique, style, and/or broader authorial choices shape meaning and create effect?

Marks	Description
0	The response does not meet the standard described below.
1-2	 The response is descriptive and/or contains little relevant analysis of textual features and/or the broader authorial choices. The response contains no meaningful evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning or create effect.
3-4	 The response contains some appropriate analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices but is reliant on description. There is some evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning or create effect.
5-6	 The response contains appropriate and at times convincing analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices. There is effective evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning or create effect.

Option A

Prescribed core text: Verse — Homer, Iliad 22.182-207

- 1. (a) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
 - (b) Award **[1]** up to **[4]** for any of the following: he tells her to take heart $(\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota)$; he refers to her as a beloved child $(\phi \dot{\iota} \lambda o \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa o \varsigma)$; says he does not speak in earnest $(o \ddot{\upsilon} \nu \dot{\upsilon} \tau \iota \theta \upsilon \mu \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \rho \sigma \nu \iota \mu \upsilon \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota)$; he wants to please her $(\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o \iota \eta \pi \iota o \varsigma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \alpha \iota)$; he tells her she can do what she likes $(\xi \rho \xi o \nu \dot{\omega} \eta \delta \eta \tau o \iota \nu \dot{\omega} o \varsigma \xi \pi \lambda \epsilon \tau o)$ and not hold back any longer $(\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega})$.
 - (c) Achilles is like a hound (κύων) [1], Hector is like a fawn (νεβρὸν) [1], just as the fawn tries to hide but keeps being discovered (τὸν δ΄ εἴ πέρ τε λάθησι καταπτήξας ὑπὸ θάμνω, άλλά τ΄ άνιχνεύων θέει ἔμπεδον, ὄφρα κεν εὕρῃ), [1] so Hector can't hide from Achilles (ὡς ὙΕκτωρ οὐ λῆθε ποδώκεα Πηλεΐωνα) [1]. Also accept other valid points of comparison between the simile and the situation on the battlefield.
 - (d) While Hector is hoping for the protection of the walls and his comrades there/is being helped by Apollo/is trying to flee, [1] Achilles doesn't want his comrades to help by shooting Hector [1].
 - (e) Homer portrays Hector's fate as inevitable by showing the gods as caring about the outcomes for mortals as well as interfering in their affairs, but nevertheless not being able to overturn Hector's fate.

Accept a range of varied and substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for each plausible point of analysis and [1] up to [4] for a relevant supporting quotation.

- The gods themselves are intervening, their power stressed by their epithets (νεφεληγερέτα Ζεύς, Τριτογένεια).
- Athena's keen desire to intervene is stressed by the words that describe her: μεμαυῖαν, άἵξασα.
- Simile of the hound and fawn emphasizing the discrepancy in their power and the inevitability of capture for Hector (with specific quotation).
- Correlatives (ὸσσάκι ... τοσσάκι) start their lines highlighting no matter how often Hector tried to get away, he simply could not.
- Conditional higlighting that Hector's hoped-for protection did not materialize (εἴ πως οἶ καθύπερθεν άλάλκοιεν βελέεσσι).
- Hector and Achilles are only evenly matched because Apollo has intervened (Ἐκτωρ κῆρας ὑπεξέφυγεν θανάτοιο, ... ἤντετ' Ἀπόλλων έγγύθεν).
- Rhetorical question asking how Hector had escaped his fate (πῶς δέ κεν Ἐκτωρ κῆρας ὑπεξέφυγεν θανάτοιο, εί μή οὶ πύματόν τε καὶ ὕστατον ἥντετ' Ἀπόλλων έγγύθεν, ὅς οὶ ἐπῶρσε μένος λαιψηρά τε γοῦνα;).
- Even with Apollo's influence, this chase will still be Hector's last, emphasized with hendiadys of (πύματόν τε καὶ ὕστατον).
- **2.** (a) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.
- **2.** (b) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.

Option B

Prescribed core text: Verse — Euripides, Alcestis 293-319

- 3. (a) Award [1] up to [3] for any of the following: he would live the remainder of his life with his wife (κάγώ τ' ἂν ἔζων καὶ σὺ τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον); he would not be grieving (ούκ ἔστενες); and be forsaken by his wife (μονωθεὶς σῆς δάμαρτος); and raising his children as orphans (παῖδας ώρφάνευες).
 - (b) Apollo [1]; then award [1] for any valid reason supported by an understanding of the text and its context, such as: it is taboo to speak ill of a god; she is worried about incurring his wrath; the name might be painful to her/Admetus to hear, etc.
 - (c) She does not ask for the repayment her act deserves (αίτήσομαι γάρ σ' άξίαν μὲν οὕποτε) [1]; because nothing is more valuable than life (ψυχῆς γὰρ ούδέν έστι τιμιώτερον) [1].
 - (d) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
 - (e) The stepmother would be worse/less noble than Alcestis [1]; she might lay a hand on the children out of envy [1]; stepmothers are hateful to stepchildren [1].
 - (f) Through taking care of their families, are essential to the family's success, especially for the daughters. Important though a mother is, she also believes that it is her role to sacrifice herself for her husband. Bad mothers (which includes all stepmothers) on the other hand, are harmful to their husband's children.

Accept a range of varied and substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for each plausible point of analysis and [1] up to [4] for a relevant supporting quotation.

- Strong relationship with their husband; parallel structure of κάγώ τ' ἂν ἕζων καὶ σὺ emphasizing their shared life.
- Word choice of μονωθεὶς σῆς δάμαρτος suggesting how her husband would be forsaken without his wife.
- Partnership with her husband to raise and love their children: τούσδε γὰρ φιλεῖς ούχ ἦσσον ἢ 'γὼ παῖδας (hyperbaton to stress parallel role of both parents); τοῖς σοῖσι κάμοῖς παισὶ (use of pronouns to suggest joint ownership of the children, rather than a simple form of ἡμέτερος).
- Particular responsibility of a mother to her daughter: breaking off from speech to address her daughter (σὺ δ΄, $\tilde{\omega}$ τέκνον μοι).
- A series of rhetorical questions (πως κορευθήση καλως; etc), expressing dismay about how she will grow up without her mother.
- Series of negations (ού γάρ οὕτε νυμφεύσει ποτὲ οὕτ΄ ἐν τόκοισι σοῖσι θαρσυνεῖ) stressing the importance of a mother's presence at a woman's most important events in life: marriage and childbirth; emphatic placement of εύμενέστερον to highlight the importance of the presence of a woman's mother during labour.
- Stepmothers are maligned: they are hated by children (έχθρὰ, emphatic placement) and no kinder than a viper έχίδνης ούδὲν ήπιωτέρα (metaphor).
- Alcestis's concern about their bad influence stressed by the repeated prohibitions (μὴ 'πιγήμης ... μὴ δῆτα δράσης ταῦτά) and the strength of her appeal (αίτοῦμαί σ' ἐγώ).
- **4**. (a) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.
- **4**. (b) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.

Option C

Prescribed core text: Prose — Thucydides, History of the Peloponnesian War 2.64.3-6

- **5.** (a) Athens has a very great name among all men [1] for not yielding to disasters [1], expending the most men and effort in war [1], acquiring the greatest power known [1].
 - (b) Ruling very many Greeks (Ἑλλήνων ... πλείστων δὴ ἤρξαμεν) [1], withstanding them/enemies in very great wars (πολέμοις μεγίστοις άντέσχομεν) [1] and inhabiting a very well-resourced and large city (πόλιν τε ... εύπορωτάτην καὶ μεγίστην ώκήσαμεν) [1].
 - (c) Award [1] up to [3] for any of the following: hatred is short-lived; it is what all those who aspire to rule of others experience; whoever understands this is deliberating correctly (or similar); the resultant glory will be everlasting.
 - (d) Award [1] up to [2] for any of the following or any other reasonable answer: the plague, the raids of Archidamus/the Spartans in Attica, the failure of Hagnon and Cleopompus at Potidaea.
 - (e) In this passage, Pericles is finishing his last speech in which he seeks to renew the resolve of the Athenians in fighting the war against the Spartans. In this extract specifically, Pericles (successfully) invokes the glory associated with being the pre-eminent state in Greece to renew the Athenians' appetite to continue the war with the Spartans. The speech is divided into three sections, the first boasting of Athenian power, the second dealing with hostility towards the Athenian empire and the last exhorting the Athenians not to give in.

Accept a range of varied and substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for each plausible point of analysis and [1] up to [4] for a relevant supporting quotation.

- Athens' glory is known among all men (έν ἄπασιν άνθρώποις), suggesting that Athenian power is unsurpassed and worth fighting to preserve.
- In an extremely long first sentence of the excerpt, Pericles lists all the ways in which Athenian power is shown. This exhaustive list is effective in creating the impression in the audience that the Athenians are pre-eminent and hold supremacy among all Greeks. (insist on specific reference to the text to support this point).
- The first sentence contains only superlative adjectives (μέγιστον, πλεῖστα, μεγίστην, πλείστων, μεγίστοις, εύπορωτάτην, μεγίστην), with alternating forms of "biggest" and "most" creating a clear picture of the Athenians' unparalleled power and the need to preserve it.
- Pericles invokes the topos of posterity (ές άΐδιον τοῖς έπιγιγνομένοις, μνήμη καταλελείψεται) to emphasize the uniqueness of Athens' power.
- In stating that the Athenians have ruled the greatest number of their fellow Greeks,
 Pericles emphasizes Athenian excellence, evoking a sense of superiority/patriotism, etc.,
 reinforced by polyptoton and the inclusive ἤρξαμεν (Ἑλλήνων τε ὅτι Ἑλληνες πλείστων
 δὴ ἤρξαμεν).
- Detractors of the empire are characterized as motivated by blame (ταῦτα ὁ μὲν ἀπράγμων μέμψαιτ' ἄν), ambition (ὁ δὲ δρᾶν τι καὶ αὐτὸς βουλόμενος ζηλώσει) and disappointment (εί δέ τις μὴ κέκτηται, φθονήσει), but no matter the reason for their hostility (variously referred to as τὸ μισεῖσθαι, λυπηροὺς εἶναι, τὸ ἐπίφθονον and μῖσος), this is less intense and of shorter duration (ούκ ἐπὶ πολὺ ἀντέχει) than the glory of empire.
- The audience is encouraged to act with "immediate zeal" $(\tau \tilde{\omega} \ \eta \delta \eta \ \pi \rho o \theta \acute{\upsilon} \mu \omega)$, highlighting the need to act to preserve Athenian glory.

- The double prohibition/exhortation is intended to reaffirm Pericles's strategy and renew the Athenians' resolve (Λακεδαιμονίοις μήτε έπικηρυκεύεσθε μήτε ἔνδηλοι ἔστε τοῖς παροῦσι πόνοις βαρυνόμενοι).
- **6.** (a) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.
- **6. (b)** Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.

Option D

Prescribed core text: Prose — Antiphon, On the Murder of Herodes 31-34

- 7. (a) Award [1] up to [4] for any of the following: he is hoping for freedom (έλευθερίαν ὑπέσχοντο or ὴν μὲν έλευθερίαν έλπίσας); he wants to be released from torture (παύσασθαι κακούμενον or τῆς δὲ βασάνου είς τὸ παραχρῆμα βουλόμενος ἀπηλλάχθαι); only the prosecution can offer him freedom/release from torture (τοῦτο δ' ἐπὶ τούτοις ἦν); the tortured witness tends to be biased towards the torturers (πρὸς τούτων είσὶν οὶ βασανιζόμενοι λέγειν); it is easy to lie when the victim is not present (κᾶν μὴ παρόντες τυγχάνωσιν ὧν ᾶν καταψεύδωνται).
 - (b) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
 - (c) The enslaved man began to tell the truth [1], saying that he had been persuaded to lie about the speaker [1], when he learned that he would be killed [1].
 - (d) The prosecution killed the slave [1] despite him being their informer against the speaker [1].
 - (e) The speaker seeks to discredit the prosecution in this extract by calling into question the procedural regularity of their interrogation of the slave and, by extension, their motives.

Accept a range of varied and substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for each plausible point of analysis and [1] up to [4] for a relevant supporting quotation.

- The speaker engages in speculation over what the prosecution could have done to induce
 the slave to give a favourable testimony (ἴσως οὖτοι τοῦτο μὲν έλευθερίαν ὑπέσχοντο).
- He also highlights their ability to stop the torture of the slave (τοῦτο δ' ἐπὶ τούτοις ἦν παύσασθαι κακούμενον αὐτόν) and the effect of that inducement (ἴσως ὑπ' ἀμφοῖν πεισθεὶς κατεψεύσατό μου).
- The repetition of ἴσως adds an air of plausibility to the speaker's points.
- The speaker makes frequent references to lying, using different forms of the same verb, reinforcing the message to the jurors that the prosecution's case is based on false testimony (κατεψεύσατό, καταψεύδωνται, ...).
- The frequent references to torture alongside the references to lying reinforce the message that torture produces false testimony, further discrediting the main piece of evidence produced by the prosecution.
- The speaker highlights the unreliability of testimony obtained by torture, and by extension the potential unreliability of the prosecution's evidence (οὶ βασανιζόμενοι λέγειν ὅ τι ἀν* ἐκείνοις μέλλωσι χαριεῖσθαι).
- The direct address to the jurors (οἶμαι δ' ὑμᾶς ἐπίστασθαι τοῦτο) suggests that reasonable people know this/assumes the jurors' agreement with the speaker/the implausibility of the prosecution's position.
- He highlights his own absence from the interrogation (ἄλλως τε κᾶν μὴ παρόντες τυγχάνωσιν ὧν ᾶν καταψεύδωνται), calling into question the purpose of the interrogation and/or the prosecution's motives.
- The speaker highlights the fact that the same people, i.e., the prosecution were both interrogators and "assessors" (αὐτοὶ ἦσαν καὶ βασανισταὶ καὶ έπιτιμηταὶ), thereby calculating their own interests to secure evidence against him.

- The prosecution killed the slave (άπέκτειναν ἄγοντες τὸν ἄνδρα assonance to highlight the shocking nature of this act), their sole informant against the speaker (τὸν μηνυτήν, ῷ πιστεύοντες έμὲ διώκουσι), seemingly to remove the possibility of the slave giving testimony in the speaker's favour.
- The reference to the slave as τὸν ἄνδρα humanizes him and evokes pathos, with the asyndeton with τὸν μηνυτήν adding an air of disbelief.
- The speaker uses the common courtroom accusation of acting in a manner contrary to the norm (τούναντίον ποιήσαντες ἢ οὶ ἄλλοι ἄνθρωποι) to suggest some nefarious purpose for disposing of the slave.
- **8.** (a) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.
- **8.** (b) Mark in accordance with the markbands on page 3 and 4.